

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,290	09/18/2003	Madaline Chirica	DX01074B1	8667
28008 7	590 11/01/2006		EXAMINER	
DNAX RESEARCH INC.			SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN	
LEGAL DEPARTMENT 901 CALIFORNIA AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304			1647	
		DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,290	CHIRICA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jegatheesan Seharaseyon, Ph.D	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	ıgust 2006.					
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>24-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>24-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 1990. 6) Other:	асент Арріісаціон				

Application/Control Number: 10/667,290 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants response filed 8/15/2006 is acknowledged. The previous Restriction/Election of 15 May 2006 is hereby vacated because incorrect set of claims was restricted. For Applicant's records, please use the mail date of the current office action.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 24-29 are drawn to a recombinant peptide and compositions containing them, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - II. Claims 30 are drawn to a method of producing an antigen:antibody complex, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
 - III. Claims 31-34 are drawn to a method for modulating the physiology or development of a cell comprising contacting the cell with an antagonist, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - IV. Claims 31-34 are drawn to a method for modulating the physiology or development of a cell comprising contacting the cell with an agonist, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - V. Claims 35, 37 and 38 are drawn to a method of treating an immune disorder comprising administering an antagonist, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
 - VI. Claims 35, 36 and 38 are drawn to a method of treating an immune disorder comprising administering an agonist, classified in class 514, subclass 12.

Art Unit: 1647

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

a. Inventions I and (II-VI) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product of invention I can be used in assays for the identification of agonist and antagonist of the polypeptide.

Additionally, searching the inventions of Groups I and (II-VI) together would impose serious search burden. The inventions of I and (II-VI) have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. Moreover, in the instant case, the search for the isolated interferon protein and method of use are not coextensive.

b. Inventions II-VI are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). Groups II-VI are different methods requiring different method steps, wherein each is not required, one for another. For example, Invention II requires search and consideration of a method of producing an antigen:antibody complex, which is not required by the other invention. Invention III requires search and consideration of a method for modulating the physiology or development of a cell comprising contacting the cell with an antagonist, which is not required by the other invention. Invention IV requires search and consideration of a method for modulating the physiology or development of a cell comprising contacting the cell with an agonist, which is not required by the other invention. Invention V requires search and consideration of a method of treating an immune disorder comprising administering an antagonist, which is not required by the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,290

Art Unit: 1647

Invention VI requires search and consideration of a method of treating an immune disorder comprising administering an agonist, which is not required by the other invention.

Furthermore, the distinct steps and products require separate, distinct, and non-coextensive searches. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of Groups II-VI together.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different classification and different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,290

Art Unit: 1647

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: The physiological disorders disclosed are all immune related. However, the diseases have different pathologies and different mechanisms. A method of treating an immune disorder wherein the disorder comprises:

Page 5

- a. treat a chronic Th1 mediated disease;
- b. treat a chronic inflammatory condition;
- c. a tumor or a viral disorder;
- d. inhibit memory T cells or NK cells;

The species are independent or distinct because each of the of the diseases (a)-(d) have different pathologies and different mechanisms. The species are independent or distinct because each requires separate, non-coextensive searches. For example, a technical literature search for treating a tumor, may not result in relevant art with respect to treating an inflammatory condition.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 37 and 38 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/667,290

Art Unit: 1647

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon, Ph.D whose telephone number is 571-272-0892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,290 Page 7

Art Unit: 1647

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JS Art Unit 1647, October 27, 2006

Gegatheen kharley Palint Bonner